

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 076326-0194 9080 Stefan Reh 09/944,157 09/04/2001 **EXAMINER** 22428 7590 04/23/2004 FOLEY AND LARDNER FLORES SANCHEZ, OMAR SUITE 500 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007 3724 DATE MAILED: 04/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/944,157	REH ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Omar Flores-Sánchez	3724
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.	•	•
after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replection of the second for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS te, cause the application to become ABAN	S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 /	November 2003.	
· <u> </u>	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matters	s, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-9,15 and 16</u> is/are pending in t	the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>10-14 and 17</u> is/are		ı .
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-9,15 and 16</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. & 11	19(a)-(d) or (f)
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	in priority under 00 0.0.0. 3 1	(1)
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		lication No.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price		
application from the International Burea		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not rec	eived.
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Peferances Cited (PTO 892)	Λ □ 1 •	(DTO 442)
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Sum Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) lail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	5) D Notice of Inform	mal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date / 4	6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/944,157

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 1, 7-9 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bauer (5,744,776) in view of Foreign Patent no. (DE 29813528UI).

Bauer discloses a method of forming a weakening area in an airbag cover substantially as claimed comprising: providing a cutting support (Fig. 1); providing a cutter 18; placing the airbag with the decorative layer 118 facing down on the support; and cutting a tear line of a predetermined pattern (Fig.9-16). Bauer does not show a blade. However, Foreign Patent's 528U1 teaches the use of a blade 10 for the purpose of reducing deformations and stresses in the leather structure. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Bauer's cutter by providing the blade as taught by Foreign Patent's 528U1 in order to reduce deformations and stresses in the leather structure.

3. Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bauer (5,744,776) in view of Foreign Patent no. (DE 29813528UI) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Towler et al. (foreign patent no. 2, 205, 284).

Application/Control Number: 09/944,157

Art Unit: 3724

4

The modified device of Bauer discloses the method of forming a weakening area in an airbag cover substantially as claimed except for applying a predetermined force to the area of the cover and maintaining the cover against the support with a vacuum. However, Towler teaches the step of applying a predetermined force to the area of the cover and maintaining the cover against the support with a vacuum for the purpose of precisely positioning and supporting the workpiece. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Bauer's cutting support by providing the vacuum as taught by Towler in order to obtain a precise position and support for the cover.

4. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bauer in view of Bauer (5,744,776) in view of Foreign Patent no. (DE 29813528UI) and Pilkington (4, 9020, 495).

Bauer discloses a method of forming a weakening area in an airbag cover substantially as claimed comprising: providing a cutting support (Fig. 1); providing a cutter 18; placing the airbag with the decorative layer 118 facing down on the support; and cutting a tear line of a predetermined pattern (Fig.9-16). Bauer does not show a blade and an oscillating cutter. However, Foreign Patent's 528U1 teaches the use of a blade 10 for the purpose of reducing deformations and stresses in the leather structure. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Bauer's cutter by providing the blade as taught by Foreign Patent's 528U1 in order to reduce deformations and stresses in the leather structure.

Application/Control Number: 09/944,157 Page 4

Art Unit: 3724

Regarding the oscillating cutter, Pilkington teaches the use of an oscillating cutter 40 for the purpose of obtaining a better cut of the product. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Bauer by providing an oscillating cutter in order to obtain a clean cut.

5. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bauer (5,744,776) in view of Foreign Patent no. (DE 29813528UI) as applied to claim 15 above, and further in view of Foreign Patent no. DE 4424686 A1.

The modified device of Bauer discloses the method of forming a weakening area in an airbag cover substantially as claimed except for an end having a semicircular shape. However, Foreign Patent '686 teaches the use of an end having a semicircular shape 18, 20 for the purpose of preventing the tearing action that occurs along the breaking line from being extended beyond the end of the breaking line. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Bauer's device by providing the end having a semicircular shape as taught by foreign patent'686 in order to prevent the tearing action which occurs along the breaking line from being extended beyond the end of the breaking line.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Gray is cited to show related device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Omar Flores-Sánchez whose telephone number is 703-308-0167. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ofs April 19, 2004

> KENNETH E. PETERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER